

Customer No.: 31561
Docket No.: 11869-US-PA
Application No.: 10/707,296

To the Drawings

Please replace the drawings with the replacement drawing sheets submitted hereto, in which "Prior Art" has been marked to Figs. 4 and 5 as instructed by the Examiner.

Customer No.: 31561
Docket No.: 11869-US-PA
Application No.: 10/707,296

REMARKS

Drawings

In the current Office Action, the drawings Figs. 4 and 5 are objected to.

In response thereto, Applicant has submitted replacement drawing sheets, in which proper amendments have been made as instructed by the Examiner.

Present Status of the Application

The Office Action objects to claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 8, 11, 15, 22, 25 and 27 because of some informalities requiring corrections.

The Office Action rejects claim 14 under the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, as claim 14 recites the limitation "said fourth frame" in line 2, and there is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The Office Action rejects claim 15 under the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, as claim 15 recites the limitations "said eight frame data" and "said ninth frame data" in the last phrase, and there is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

The Office Action rejects claim 29 under the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, as claim 29 recites the limitation "said eleventh frame data" in the second phrase, and there is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The Office Action rejects claims 1-6 and 8-30 under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph as being indefinite.

The Office Action rejects claims 1, 6, 15 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Van Asma (USPN. 6,489,964; hereinafter "Van Asma").

The Office Action rejects claim 7 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by